RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN REFLECTIVENESS AND PEDAGOGICAL KNOWLEDGE OF B.ED STUDENTS



ABSTRACT

The present study deals with the relationship between reflectiveness and pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed students. The survey method is used for the present study. The investigator used the simple random sampling technique for selecting the sample. The sample consisted of 250 B.Ed students from 8 colleges in Tirunelveli revenue district. In the present investigation percentage analysis, 't' test, ANOVA, chi-square and correlation are used to analyes the data. The study reveals that there is a significant difference between reflectiveness and pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed students.

INTRODUCTION

The teacher occupies an important place in the society because he brings about the transfer of the intellectual tradition from one generation to the next. The teacher maintains the level of technological skill and keeps the light of civilization burning bright and is expected to help in the silent social revolution that is taking place in the country. The duty of the teacher, does not end in the classroom with his students. He owes a duty to the society and the nation. The teacher should be able to constantly adjust his methods and approach to suit the changing times.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

Reflectiveness is the framework or pattern within which creative thinking and reasoning takes place as thinking involves extraordinarily complex mental processes. The student teachers who have better reflectiveness, can have good pedagogical knowledge.

Reflectiveness helps the teachers deal with situation. Possessing reflectiveness helps to answer teacher the questions promptly and sharpens rational thinking to deal effectively with society open-mindedly. It helps them in managing everything in every way. Reflectiveness in learning is itself a complex issue.

A teacher must possess pedagogical knowledge which is the core of the professional education. A teacher can be effective when he grasps the content of his own discipline and develops professional knowledge of imparting that content to the students. Here the teacher must be able to reflect on his pedagogy which plays a vital role in classroom teaching.

Reflectiveness and pedagogical knowledge are interrelated and both are necessary for every teacher.

Reflective thinking creates better pedagogical knowledge. So the teachers should develop reflectiveness to develop pedagogical knowledge. The message conveyed by the teacher or educational media may be verbal or visual and the receiver may listen or react. Thus with all his positive behaviour, the teacher could teach a concept. So the investigator decided to find out the relationship between reflectiveness and pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed.students.

OBJECTIVE

- 1. To find out the level of reflectiveness and pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed. students.
- 2. To find out the significant difference in the reflectiveness of B.Ed. students with respect to gender and nature of college.
- To find out the significant difference in the pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed. students with respect to gender and nature of college.
- 4. To find out the relationship between reflectiveness and pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed. students.

HYPOTHESES

1. There is no significant difference between male and female B.Ed students in their reflectiveness.

S.S.Helen Sathia Sheela

M.Ed Scholar, St.Xavier's College of Education, Palayamkottai.

Dr. A.Michael.J.Leo

Assistant Prof. in Education, St. Xavier's College of Education, Palayamkottai.

- There is no significant difference between male and female B.Ed. students in their knowledge of philosophy and sociology, psychology, curriculum, methodology, techniques, guidance and counselling, technology and evaluation.
- There is no significant difference among boys', girls' and co-education college B.Ed. students in their reflectiveness.
- There is no significant difference among boys', girls' and co-education college B.Ed. students in their knowledge of philosophy and sociology, psychology, curriculum, methodology, techniques, guidance and counselling, technology, evaluation and pedagogical knowledge in toto.
- There is no significant relationship between reflectiveness and pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed. students.

POPULATION AND SAMPLE

The investigator adopted the survey method to find out the relationship between reflectiveness and pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed. students. The population for this study consisted of B.Ed. students studying in colleges of education in Tirunelveli revenue district affiliated to TamilNadu Teacher Education University. The investigator used the simple random sampling technique for selecting the sample. The sample consisted of 250 B.Ed. students from randomly selected colleges of education in Tirunelveli revenue district.

TOOLS USED

- Reflectiveness Questionnaire developed by Vasimalai Raja and Annaraja (2008)
- Pedagogical Knowledge Questionnaire developed by the investigator and the guide.

STATISTICAL TECHNIQUE USED

Statistical techniques such as Percentage Analysis, t-test, Chi-square, Correlation and ANOVA were used.

ANALYSIS OF DATA

Level of reflectiveness of B.Ed.students.

Table 1 LEVEL OF REFLECTIVENESS (OF B.ED STUDENTS

Low

16

N

Variable

Reflectiv

Research

| - 13 X | eness | | 1 | | | 208.0 | 3752 | |
|--------|----------|--------|------|---------|---------|---------|----------|------|
| 14 | It is in | ferrec | froi | n the a | bove t | able th | at 16.49 | % of |
| B.Ed. | students | have | low, | 69.2% | ofther | n have | average | and |
| 14 4% | ofthem | have | hioh | level o | freflec | tivene | 22 | |

Level of pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed. students.

Table 2 LEVEL OF PEDAGOGICAL KNOWLEDGE OF B.ED.STUDENTS

| Dimensions of Pedagogical Knowledge | Low | | Ave | rage | High | |
|---|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|
| Kilowieuge | N | % | N | % | N | % |
| Philosophy and sociology | 88 | 35.2 | 162 | 64.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Psychology | 74 | 29.6 | 176 | 70.4 | 0 | 0 |
| Curriculum | 115 | 46 | 122 | 48.8 | 13 | 5.2 |
| Methodology | 62 | 24.8 | 147 | 58.8 | 41 | 16.4 |
| Techniques | 110 | 44 | 140 | 56 | -0 | 0 |
| Guidance and counselling | 65 | 26 | 150 | 60 | 35 | 14 |
| Technology | 51 | 20.4 | 167 | 66.8 | 32 | 12.8 |
| Evaluation | 87 | 34.8 | 163 | 65.2 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedagogical knowledge | 40 | .16 | 183 | 73.2 | 27 | 10.8 |

It is inferred from the above table that 35.2% of B.Ed. students have low, 64.8% of them have average and none of them has high level of knowledge of philosophy and sociology.

It is inferred from the above table that 29.6% of B.Ed. students have low, 70.4% of them have average and none of them has high level of knowledge of psychology.

It is inferred from the above table that 46% of B.Ed. students have low, 48.8% of them have average and 5.2% of them have high level of knowledge of curriculum.

It is inferred from the above table that 24.8% of B.Ed. students have low, 58.8% of them have average and 16.4% of them have high level of knowledge of methodology.

It is inferred from the above table that the 44% of B.Ed. students have low, 56% of them have average and none of them has high level of knowledge of techniques.

It is inferred from the above table that 26% of B.Ed. students have low, 60% of them have average and 14% of them have high level of knowledge of guidance and counselling.

It is inferred from the above table that 20.4% of B.Ed. students have low, 66.8% of them have average and 12.8% of them have high level of knowledge of technology.

It is inferred from the above table that 34.8 % of B.Ed. students have low, 65.2 % of them have average and none of them has high level of knowledge of evaluation

It is inferred from the above table that 16% of B.Ed. students have low, 73.2% of them have average and 10.8% of them have high level of knowledge of pedagogical knowledge.

Null Hypothesis 1: There is no significant difference between male and female B.Ed. students in their reflectiveness

Table 3
DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MALE AND FEMALE
B.ED STUDENTS
IN THEIR REFLECTIVENESS

| Variable | Category | N | Mean | SD | Calcula ted 't' value | Remarks at 5% Level |
|-----------|----------|-----|-------|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Reflectiv | Male | 132 | 89.52 | 7.009 | 1.010 | NIG |
| eness | Female | 118 | 90.4 | 6.774 | 1.012 | NS |

(At 5% level of significance, the table value of 't' is 1.96)

It is inferred from the above table that there is no significant difference between male and female B.Ed.students in their reflectiveness.

Null Hypothesis 2: There is no significant difference between male and female B.Ed. students in their pedagogical knowledge and its dimensions namely philosophy and sociology, psychology, curriculum, methodology, techniques, guidance and counselling, technology and evaluation.

Table 4 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MALE AND FEMALE B.ED. STUDENTS IN THEIR PEDAGOGICAL KNOWLEDGE

Research Paper

| Dimensions of pedagogical knowledge | Category | N | Mean | SD | Calculated 't' value | Remark at 5%level | |
|---|----------|-----|-------|---------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Philosophy and | Male | 132 | 2.81 | 0.99 | 0.000 | 7.7 | |
| sociology | Female | 118 | 2.89 | 1.002 | 0.628 | NS | |
| Psychology | Male | 132 | 2.82 | 1.032 | 1.064 | NS | |
| | Female | 118 | 2.95 | 0.914 | 1.064 | | |
| Curriculum | Male | 132 | 1.69 | 1.02 | 0.47 | NS | |
| [24, 200 | Female | 118 | 1.75 | - 1.147 | 0.47 | | |
| Methodology | Male | 132 | 2.33 | 1.059 | 0.351 | NS | |
| ESA TOTAL | Female | 118 | 2.37 | 1.061 | 0.331 | | |
| Techniques | Male | 132 | 2:52 | 1.015 | 0.897 | NIC | |
| inverse | Female | 118 | 2.64 | 1.099 | 0.897 | NS | |
| Guidance and | Male | 132 | 2.27 | 1.146 | 0.129 | 120 | |
| counselling | Female | 118 | 2.25 | 1.126 | 0.129 | NS | |
| Technology | Male | 132 | 2.45 | 1.043 | 1 400 | NS | |
| | Female | 118 | 2.26 | 0.991 | 1.432 | | |
| Evaluation | Male | 132 | 1.88 | 0.838 | | 110 | |
| | Female | 118 | 1.86 | 0.896 | 0.131 | NS | |
| Pedagogical | Male | 132 | 18.76 | 4.442 | 0.20 | 210 | |
| knowledge | Female | 118 | 18.98 | 4.892 | 0.38 | NS | |

(At 5% level of significance, the table value of 't' is 1.96)

It is inferred from the above table that there is no significant difference between male and female B.Ed. students in their knowledge of philosophy and sociology, psychology, curriculum, methodology, techniques, guidance and counselling, technology and evaluation.

Null Hypothesis 3: There is no significant difference among boys', girls' and co-education college B.Ed. students in their reflectiveness.

Table 5 DIFFERENCE AMONG BOYS', GIRLS'AND CO-EDUCATION COLLEGE B.ED. STUDENTS IN THEIR REFLECTIVENESS

| Variable | Source of variati on | Sum of squares | df | Mean square | Calcula ted 'F'valu e | k at |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----|----------------|--------------------------------|------|
| Reflectiv eness | Betwee n | 275.386 | 2 | 137.693 | 2.938 | NS |
| | Within | 11576.5 | 247 | 46.868 | | |

(At 5% level of significance for df (2,247) the table value of 'F' is 3.03)

Research and Reflections on Education ISSN 0974 - 648 X Vol. 13 No. 04 Oct - Dec 2015

It is inferred from the above table that there is no significant difference among boys', girls' and co-education no significant relationship between college B.Ed. students in their reflectiveness

Null Hypothesis 4: There is no significant difference among boys', girls' and co-education college B.Ed. students in their pedagogical knowledge and its dimensions namely philosophy and sociology, psychology, curriculum, methodology, techniques, guidance and counselling, technology and evaluation.

Table 6 DIFFERENCE AMONG BOYS', GIRLS' AND CO-EDUCATION COLLEGE B.ED. STUDENTS IN THEIR PEDAGOGICAL KNOWLEDGE

| Dimensions of pedagogical knowledge | Source of variance | Sum of squares | df | Mean Squares | Calculated 'F' value | Remark at 5% level |
|--|--------------------|----------------|-----|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Philosophy | Between | 0.131 | 2 | 0.065 | 0.066 | NS |
| and sociology | Within | 246.093 | 247 | 0.996 | | |
| Psychology | Between | 0.618 | 2 | 0.309 | 0.321 | NS : |
| | Within | 237.782 | 247 | 0.963 | | |
| Curriculum | Between | 1.027 | 2 | 0.513 | 0.438 | NS |
| | Within | 289.373 | 247 | 1.172 | | - 151 |
| Methodolog | Between | 1.776 | 2 | 0.888 | 0.792 | NS |
| y | Within | 276.948 | 247 | 1.121 | | |
| Techniques | Between | 3.911 | 2 | 1.955 | 1.767 | NS |
| | Within | 273,293 | 247 | 1.106 | | |
| Guidance | Between | 12.794 | 2 | 6.397 | 5.134 | S |
| and Counselling | Within | 307.782 | 247 | 1.246 | N | |
| Technology | Between | 1.068 | 2 | 0.534 | 0.51 | NS . |
| | Within | 258.532 | 247 | 1.047 | | 1.15 |
| Evaluation | Between | 1.906 | 2 | 0.953 | 1.279 | NS |
| | Within | 183.998 | 247 | 0.745 | | - "" |
| Pedagogical | Between | 34.434 | 2 | 17.217 | 0.794 | - NS |
| knowledge | Within | 5352.942 | 247 | 21.672 | | |

(At 5% level of significance for df (2,247) the table value of 'F' is 3.03)

It is inferred from the table below that there is no significant difference among boys, girls and co-education college B.Ed. students in their knowledge of philosophy and sociology, psychology, curriculum, methodology, techniques, technology, evaluation and pedagogical knowledge in toto. But there is significant difference among boys', girls' and co-education college B.Ed. students in their knowledge of guidance and counselling. While comparing the mean scores of boys' (1.93), girls' (2.03) and co-education (2.45) colleges, the co-education B.Ed. students are better in their knowledge of guidance and counselling.

Null Hypothesis 5: There is reflectiveness and pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed. students.

Research Paper

Table 7 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN REFLECTIVENESS AND PEDAGOGICAL KNOWLEDGE OF **B.ED. STUDENTS**

| Dimensions of pedagogical knowledge | Calculated 'γ' value | Remark at 5% level | |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Philosophy and sociology | 0.043 | NS | |
| Psychology | 0.065 | NS | |
| Curriculum | 0.027 | NS | |
| Methodology | 0.080 | NS | |
| Techniques | 0.112 | NS | |
| Guidance and counselling | 0.011 | NS | |
| Technology | 0.100 | NS | |
| Evaluation | 0.005 | NS | |
| Pedagogical knowledge | 0.121 | S | |

(At 5% level of significance for df 248, the table value of 'y' is 0.113)

It is inferred from the above table that there is significant relationship between reflectiveness and pedagogical knowledge of B.Ed students.

FINDINGS

- 14.4% of B.Ed. students have high level of reflectiveness.
- 2. 16.4% of B.Ed students have high level of knowledge of methodology
- There is no significant difference between male and female B.Ed. students in their reflectiveness.
- There is no significant difference between male and female B.Ed. students in their knowledge of philosophy and sociology, psychology, curriculum, methodology, techniques, guidance and counselling, technology and evaluation.
- There is no significant difference among boys', girls' and co-education college B.Ed. students in their reflectiveness
- There is no significant difference among boys', girls' and co-education college B.Ed. students in their

Continued on Page 9