# A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE OF HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHERS IN KERALA 

## ABSTRACT

This survey study was conducted with the objective of finding out the organizational climate of Higher secondary school teachers in Kerala with respect to their gender, type of school, locality and mode of appointment. The study was conducted in Malappuram, Palghat, Thrissur and Ernakulam Districts. For the purpose of dato collection OCDQ developed by Halpin and Croft (1963) was used. The study revealed that paternal type of organizational climate was in prominence with one third of the total schools coming in this category. It was also concluded that the organizational climate of Higher secondary school teachers in Kerala is not influenced by their respective gender and locality but it is influenced by the mode of appointment of teachers and type of school.

## INTRODUCTION

Organizational climate is the force that shapes or reinforces the individual behaviour to constitute the environment as per Bloom M.L (1956). This holds good for any school or institution and its environment which shapes the personality of an individual. The environment provides a network of forces and factors which engulf and play on the individual of any institution. As such educationists are of the opinion that practices in any educational institution should always place emphasis on creating a good environment in the school that leads to establish conducive conditions which enable teachers and consequently their wards to develop their potentials.

Human interaction that takes place in an its goals. The school is a system where interaction creating the environment of the institution. It is a well established fact by now that environment employees. The lack of organization of organizational environment with proper school low morale, academic motivath teachers having affects the whole system of tivation and attitude process. The organizational cliteaching learning to be studied systematically climate of a school is
strategy to be adopted for its effective functr This will enable any organization to grow move in the right direction.

## OBJECTIVES

The main objectives of the study are

1. To find out the organizational clim Higher secondary school teachers in K
2. To find out whether there is any sign difference in the organizational climateo and female Higher secondary school tea
3. To find out whether there is any sign difference in the organizational clim government and private Higher seco school teachers.
4. To find out whether there is any sign difference in the organizational clim rural and urban Higher secondary teachers.
5. To find out whether there is any sign difference in the organizational clim temporary and permanent Higher see school teachers.
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## METHODOLOGY

Sample
The study was carried out on a representative sample of 1000 Higher secondary school teachers from Malappuram, Palghat, Thrissur and Ernakulam districts in Kerala state. The subjects were selected from 140 Higher secondary schools using the simple random technique. The method adopted for the present study is the survey method.
Tool
The data was collected using OCDQ (Organizational Climate Descriptive Questionnaire) developed by Halpin and Croft (1963). It is of Likert type having 64 statements which teachers and principals use to describe the climate of their school. The 64 items of the tool are classified into 8 sub-tests of which 4 refer to the characteristics of the teachers as a group (disengagement, hindrance, espirit and intimacy) and the other 4 refer to that of principals as a leader (aloofness, production emphasis, thrust and consideration). On the basis of these eight dimensions the following six types of organizational climate of schools have been identified.They are - open climate, autonomous climate, controlled climate, familiar climate, paternal climate and closed climate.

## ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The data were subjected to statistical treatment leading to the findings which may satisfy the requirements of the objectives of the study. The teacher perception of the climate prevailing in the school is reduced to a single score over the eight dimensions. These scores are normalized once dimension wise and again school wise. Thus the scores become doubly normalized for a particular school. This constitutes a profile of the school. These scores are compared with the profile scores standardized by Halpin and Croft. Based on the comparison, the least value indicates the climate of the school. Likewise the prevailing climates were determined for all the schools in the sample. The distribution of the schools according to type of climates is given in table - 1 .

TABLE - 1 DISTRIBUTION OF SCHOOLS INTO DIFFERENT CLIMATES

| SI. <br> No | Type of climate | No of schools | Percentage of <br> schools |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Open | 24 | 17 |
| 2 | Autonomous | 18 | 13 |
| 3 | Familiar | 14 | 10 |
| 4 | Controlled | 32 | 23 |
| 5 | Paternal | 40 | 28.5 |
| 6 | Closed | 12 | 8.5 |
|  | Total | 140 | 100 |

Paternal type of organizational climate was found in 40 schools out of the 120 schools selected for the study. Paternal type constitutes one thrid of the total schools and was found to be the prominent school type. Closed type was found only in 12 schools and became the least found school type comprising only one tenth of the total figure.

The organizational climate of schools in general has been analysed in terms of the gender of the teacher. Mean and standard deviation of the scores of the entire sample and the sub sample of male and female teachers were found out in each climate type and the significance of the difference between the mean scores of sub samples was found out. Table 2 indicates the results of this analysis.

TABLE - 2

## GENDER AND ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE

| Type of Organizational climate | Sub <br> sample | N | Mean | S.D. | Calcu <br> lated <br> ' t ' <br> value | Sig. <br> at 0.05 <br> level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open | Male | 58 | 182.11 | 22.27 | 0.98 | NS |
|  | Female | 142 | 185.58 | 23.96 |  |  |
| Autonomous | Male | 54 | 182.03 | 21.18 | 1.61 | NS |
|  | Female | 114 | 176.44 | 20.24 |  |  |
| Familiar | Male | 37 | 181.27 | 25.10 | 0.34 | NS |
|  | Female | 64 | 182.96 | 21.64 |  |  |
| Controlled | Male | 67 | 178.24 | 26.00 | 0.60 | NS |
|  | Female | 138 | 176.01 | 22.91 |  |  |
| Paternal | Male | 72 | 179.79 | 41.94 | 3.67 | S |
|  | Female | 155 | 159.99 | 27.12 |  |  |
| Closed | Male | 38 | 17.62 | 24.25 | 0.29 | NS |
|  | Female | 61 | 173.13 | 26.74 |  |  |
| Entire Sample | Male | 326 | 181.48 | 28.80 | 1.83 | NS |
|  | Female | 674 | 178.63 | 24.80 |  |  |

Table 2 shows that in the perception of teachers about their school climate with regard to open, autonomous, familiar, controlled and closed climates based on gender, there exists no significant mean difference in their perceptions, for the corresponding $t$-values are not found to be significant at 0.05 level. Hence it is concluded that in open, autonomous, familiar, controlled and closed organizational climates there is no significant difference between male and female teachers in their perception about their school climate.

Table 2 also indicates that there is a significant difference between male and female teachers in their perception about their school climate in schools with paternal type organizational climate as the 't'value is found to be significant at 0.05 level of significance.

To study whether type of school influences the organizational climate, $t$-tests were done after finding out the mean and standard deviation of the scores of the entire sample in each climate type. Table 3 indicates the results of this analysis.

TABLE - 3
TYPE OF SCHOOL AND
ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE

| Type of Organizational climate | Sub sample | $N$ | Mean | S.D. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Calcu } \\ \text { lated } \\ \text { ' } t \text { ' } \\ \text { value } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sig. } \\ \text { at } \\ 0.05 \\ \text { level } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open | Govt. | 126 | 186.78 | 23.22 | 4.33 | S |
|  | Private | 74 | 171.62 | 24.25 |  |  |
| Autonomous | Govt. | 98 | 178.11 | 21.11 | 1.53 | NS |
|  | Private | 70 | 172.23 | 26.14 |  |  |
| Familiar | Govt. | 56 | 185.44 | 28.16 | 1.16 | NS |
|  | Private | 45 | 179.66 | 22.18 |  |  |
| Controlled | Govt. | 43 | 176.15 | 26.16 | 1.09 | NS |
|  | Private | 139 | 171.11 | 31.14 |  |  |
| Paternal | Govt. | 70 | 181.26 | 29.16 | 2.4 | S |
|  | Private | 180 | 170.87 | 34.42 |  |  |
| Closed | Govt. | 32 | 164.34 | 23.22 | 5.85 | S |
|  | Private | 67 | 178.45 | 34.16 |  |  |
| Entire sample | Govt. | 425 | 178.68 | 25.34 | 2.86 | S |
|  | Private | 575 | 173.99 | 26.02 |  |  |

Table 3 shows that there is significant difference between the organizational climates of government and private school teachers as
regards the entire and closed climates as t-values are significan
At the same time there is no sign difference among the organizational clima Government and Private School teache regards to autonomous, familiar a Organizational climate based on lo was compared by using test of significan difference between means. The obtained $t .1$ are given in Table - 4 .

> TABLE-4

LOCALITY AND ORGANIZATIONA CLIMATE

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Type of } \\ \text { Organizational } \\ \text { climate } \end{array}$ | Sub sample | N | Mean | S.D. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Calcu } \\ \text { lated } \\ \% \\ \text { value } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open | Rural | 124 | 178.42 | 23.96 | 1.88 |
|  | Urban | 76 | 172.34 | 21.18 |  |
| Autonomous | Rural | 106 | 181.24 | 25.10 | 0.77 |
|  | Urban | 62 | 178.32 | 22.91 |  |
| Familiar | Rural | 59 | 168.48 | 34.46 | 0.19 |
|  | Urban | 42 | 167.32 | 24.25 |  |
| Controlled | Rural | 115 | 178.48 | 26.74 | 1.56 |
|  | Urban | 90 | 172.36 | 28.80 |  |
| Paternal | Rural | 107 | 169.81 | 24.8 | 1.05 |
|  | Urban | 120 | 166.32 | 25.16 |  |
| Closed | Rural | 40 | 176.87 | 36.18 | 0.71 |
|  | Urban | 59 | 172.34 | 22.14 |  |
| Entire sample | Rural | 551 | 173.55 | 28.54 | 1.23 |
|  | Urban | 449 | 171.5 | 24.07 |  |

It can be seen from table - 4 that $t \cdot 1$ are not significant in all the climates and $I$ entire sample with regard to rural and teachers and it shows that locality of the does not significantly influence the percepl organizational climate of Higher Secon School teachers.

To study whether the mode of appoill of teachers influences their organizationalc $t$-values were calculated. The obtained $t$. are given in table - 5 .

## Refer Table 5 in the next page

From the table 5, it is clear that th significant difference between the organiz climates of permanent and temporary as regards the entire sample and in the
autonomous and controlled climates as $t$-values are significant.

## TABLE - 5 <br> MODE OF APPOINTMENT AND ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE



From the table, it is clear that there is significant difference between the organizational climates of permanent and temporary teachers as regards the entire sample and in the open, autonomous and controlled climates as $t$-values are significant. The $t$-values are not significant in familiar, paternal and closed climates which shows there is no significant difference among the organizational climates of teachers in these three types of school climates.

## FINDINGS

The major conclusions derived from the study are:

1. There are $24,18,14,32,40$ and 12 schools in the open autonomous, controlled, familiar, paternal and closed climates. Paternal climate is prevalent in one third of the schools and closed climate is the least found, only in 12 schools.

Gender difference is schools with paternal climate is found to be significant whereas there is no gender difference in the perception of teachers about the climate of their schools in schools with open, autonomous, controlled, familiar and closed climates as per the significance of the t -values.
2. There is no significant difference in the
perception of teachers of the prevailing climate in their schools with regard to gender and
 locality as the t-values corresponding to their mean difference on organizational climate are found not to be significant at 0.05 level.

With regard to the type of school there is significant difference in schools with open, paternal and closed organizational climates.

There is significant difference between temporary and permanent teachers in schools with open, autonomous and controlled climates.
3. With regard to type of school and mode of appointment there is significant difference in the perception of Higher Secondary School teachers about the organizational climate prevailing in their schools.

## SUGGESTIONS

Conducive organizational climate in schools will lead to good spirit and intimacy and consequently to quality output. As such, the administration of school education should take care of genuine welfare measures of teachers to fulfill the goals of education. Teacher welfare measures will' also help for healthy interpersonal relationship which will automatically take care of cohesion and conditions of work. Hence a deliberate attempt may be done to unveil such measures.
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